UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/555,076	03/02/2006	Toshiyuki Takagi	DAISAN126512	3081
26389 7590 01/19/2011 CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800			EXAMINER	
			BETTON, TIMOTHY E	
SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1627	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/19/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

efiling@cojk.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/555,076	TAKAGI ET AL.
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOHANN R. RICHTER	1616
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>JOHANN R. RICHTER</u> .	(3) <i>Timothy Betton</i> .	
(2) <u>Tamara Kale</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 January 2011	Time: <u>2:30pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: All		
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Ms. Kale called to inquire as to the status of the above captioned 10/29/2010 to be vacated because it did not address her argument agrees and a new office action which addresses applicants argument	case. She had previously reques	sted the office action of lous office action. The office
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Johann R. Richter/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)